

Art That Falls Apart: Material Fatigue as Moral Statement

29 Jul 2026 6 min read


12
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

Photo by Zohreh Fatemi / Unsplash

Permanent Record Omeka Library Publisher Museum of One Journal Post-Interpretive Criticism
Series Critical Essay Archived By Library & Archives Canada

Dorian Vale

Museum of One — Independent Research Institute for Contemporary Aesthetics

Written at the Threshold

To enter a museum is to step into a beautifully choreographed lie. The institution whispers the vulgar language of permanence; archival glue, climate control, the suffocating tyranny of fixed humidity. Yet, every object within its walls—whether cast in bronze or captured on film—is quietly, perfectly dying. Entropy, after all, is the only true collaborator in creation. Pigments fade, plastics surrender to brittleness, and silver emulsion sighs into dust. Art's natural condition is not stasis, but an elegant exhaustion. That it survives at all is merely a temporary politeness. What curators call "preservation" is, in truth, the choreography of a slow and exquisite collapse.

To acknowledge this decay is not a surrender to nihilism; it is the highest form of moral proximity. An artwork permitted to fall apart with dignity tells a far more profound truth than one embalmed in a desperate pretence of immortality. Material failure is never an aesthetic tragedy, it is a register of encounter.

It asks whether we have the courage to witness exhaustion without the vulgar urge to intervene. The ethical critic does not seek to cure the object; they merely hold space for its unraveling.

The myth of durability has always been a rather tedious economic convenience. Bronze outlasted patrons, and marble survived empires, making eternity a highly marketable commodity. In the Renaissance, preservation masqueraded as a testament to human genius, a vain attempt by wealthy patrons to purchase earthly immortality. But modernity is delightfully cruel: the more sophisticated our synthetics, the more rapidly they perish. Our plastics yellow like old teeth, and our digital memories vanish into the ether. To make art today is to enter into a glamorous conspiracy with failure.

Eva Hesse's latex and fiberglass in *Expanded Expansion* (1969), hardening and flaking like tired skin present us with an exquisite dilemma. Though she herself confessed a tragic ambivalence about their impermanence, the inevitable decay of her sculptures acts as a brilliant refusal of that monumental, patriarchal fantasy we call permanence. The object simply chooses its own fate.

Gordon Matta-Clark, with equal audacity in *Splitting* (1974), sliced through the walls of condemned buildings, performing temporary surgical interventions before the wrecking ball swung. His cuts were not quiet meditations on fragility, but fleeting spatial violations, surviving only as phantoms in photographs, a reminder that ruin has its own beauty.

Joseph Beuys unleashed materials that utterly refused to behave. His felt and fat, most notoriously in *Fat Chair* (1964), were inherently volatile; they sweated, softened, and slumped. Whether he intended them to decompose entirely or merely to shift in a state of suspended trauma remains an unresolved debate among conservators. Yet, the physical reality is the same. The fat that melts on the gallery floor is not a failure of curation; it is a sermon on the limits of matter. We aren't meant to decode it.

The modern museum, tragically, is a mausoleum masquerading as a hospital. It embalms the dying and congratulates itself on the rescue. Yet, to varnish over intended rust, or to freeze a collapsing latex, is to commit a grave offence against the object's true essence of existence. Every material possesses its own sentence of mortality, its own sovereign rhythm of decline. Our duty is not to interrupt this sacred monologue, but to practice restraint.

We must cultivate a new, tragic form of seeing. The viewer must read the flaking paint not as damage, but as disclosure, a syllable in time's long sentence. When Anselm Kiefer buries his fields of straw beneath scorched, ash-dark pigment, as he did in *Nuremberg* (1982), he does not aspire to the vulgarity of stability. He embeds entropy directly into the medium; in later canvases he would weight the surface with literal sheets of lead, the heaviest refusal of permanence there is. His works rot with absolute purpose. The dust that falls from them is liturgical residue, not dirt.

Of course, in the marketplace, durability is synonymous with capital. Collectors insure against the inevitable, and museums build fortresses to protect their investments. For a fleeting moment, art that deliberately falls apart seemed like the ultimate rebellion against commerce—Dieter Roth's molding chocolates in *Chocolate Lion (Self-Portrait as a Lion)* (1971), or Anya Gallaccio's rotting flowers in *preserve 'beauty'* (1991–2003), appeared to die faster than any auctioneer could appraise them. But commerce possesses a rather vulgar cunning. Roth's chocolates survive as a numbered edition, each decaying cast still its own collectible unit; Gallaccio's gerberas are simply swept away after each showing and reinstalled fresh at the next, per the artist's own instructions.

Consider, too, the delicious irony of Felix Gonzalez-Torres's candy spills, most notably *"Untitled" (Portrait of Ross in L.A.)* (1991): a romantic might view the vanishing sweets as a devastating protest against ownership, but the art world simply commodified the ghost. In every case, the physical matter disappears, but the artwork itself, sustained by a certificate demanding endless replenishment, survives, traded for real money at auction. It is the ultimate capitalist parlour trick: achieving true immortality not by resisting decay, but by legally outsourcing it.

The flawlessly polished masterpiece conceals its own labor; it hides the sweat that made it. Exhausted material discloses what polish hides. Fractures and fading pigment are not wounds but evidence, the same evidence the body accumulates as it wears toward its end. To witness fatigue in matter is to catch a sudden, terrifying glimpse of our own.

The critic, therefore, must abandon the arrogance of interpretation and adopt the humility of the witness. To write of ruin without romanticizing it, to linger in that agonizing space just before collapse becomes a spectacle, is the true ethical trial. Criticism should not seek to decode or possess the artwork, but to leave a reverent residue, a testament to presence, nothing more.

Fortunately, a few radical sanctuaries have begun, however imperfectly, to grasp this. Even institutional good intentions struggle against the reflex to rescue: the Philadelphia Museum of Art once promised Zoe Leonard that her stitched fruit peels in *Strange Fruit* (1992–1997) would be left to decay in full view, only for conservators to quietly stabilize the work behind the scenes for nearly two decades before its true condition became public. Even Hesse's latex, at the Guggenheim, required extensive research and conservation treatment before it could be exhibited again at all. The impulse to embalm is nearly impossible to fully resist.

Anya Gallaccio's gerberas may be the more honest model: within each showing, the flowers are simply left to wilt and rot in the open air, no vitrine, no secret conservator. Only between exhibitions is the work quietly renewed with fresh stock. The museum must cease to be a fortress against time and become, instead, a hospice for beauty. A place where dying objects are not hidden in archives, but accompanied, however imperfectly, in the light.

To let a work of art fall apart is to trust it entirely. It is a confession that a truth need not last forever to be profound. The artist's highest calling is not to conquer time, but to surrender to it with unparalleled grace. What the museum fears most—the exact moment the work begins to crumble—is precisely the moment it becomes truly honest.

What we require is infinitely more tenderness for the things that cannot possibly last. For art that falls apart teaches us the final, devastating secret of aesthetics: that to endure is not to remain whole, but to remain true.

Museum of One — Written at the Threshold, 2026